arXiv:1512.01491v1 [math.DG] 4 Dec 2015

ENERGY OF GENERALIZED DISTRIBUTIONS

J. C. GONZÁLEZ-DÁVILA

Abstract. We consider the energy of smooth generalized distributions and also of singular foliations on compact Riemannian manifolds for which the set of their singularities consists of a finite number of isolated points and of pairwise disjoint closed submanifolds. We derive a lower bound for the energy of all q-dimensional almost regular distributions, for each $q < \dim M$, and find several examples of foliations which minimize the energy functional over certain sets of smooth generalized distributions.

Keywords and phrases: Generalized distribution, singular foliation, mixed scalar curvature, energy of distributions, tubular and radial foliations, compact rank one symmetric spaces.

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 53C20; Secondary 53C10, 53C12, 53C15.

1. Introduction

Let $\sigma : x \in M \mapsto \sigma(x) \subset T_xM$ be a smooth *generalized distribution* [12] on an n-dimensional compact and connected Riemannian manifold (M, g). Denote by M_r the subset of its regular points. When the restriction σ_r of σ to M_r is a regular distribution, that is, the lower semicontinuous function d, given by $d(x) = \dim \sigma(x)$, is a constant q on M_r, σ is said to be an q-dimensional *almost regular distribution*. If d is constant on whole M, σ is the classical distribution. For the sake of brevity, we will refer to generalized distributions simply as *distributions* and we will use the term *regular* for the classical distribution.

In the general case, we can only guarantee that d is constant on each one of the connected components of M_r. Let $1 \leq q_1 < q_2 < \cdots < q_l \leq n = \dim M$ be the values of d on M_r and put $M_r^i := \{x \in M_r \mid d(x) = q_i\}$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$, the union of the connected components, supposed to be oriented, on which d is constant equals to q_i. Then σ_r is the union of the regular q^i-dimensional distributions σ^i on M_r^i, $i = 1, \ldots, l$, and it could be seen as a smooth section of the Grassmannian bundle $\pi : G(M_r) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} G_{q_i}(M_r^i) \to M_r$, where $G_{q_i}(M_r^i) = \bigcup_{x \in M_r^i} G_{q_i}(T_xM_r^i)$ is the Grassmannian bundle of the q_i-dimensional linear subspaces in the tangent space TM_r^i. Because $G_q(M_r^i)$ is diffeomorphic to the *homogeneous fibre bundle* $\mathcal{SO}(M_r^i)/S(O(q_i) \times O(n - q_i))$, $\mathcal{SO}(M_r^i)$ being the principal $SO(n)$-bundle of oriented orthonormal frames of $(M_r^i, g_{M_r^i})$, $G(M_r)$ will be provided (see Section 3) with a natural Riemannian metric g^K, known as the *Kaluza-Klein metric* [19]. It makes $\pi : (G(M_r), g^K) \to (M_r, g_{M_r})$ a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibres, where g_{M_r} denotes the induced metric by g on M_r.

Date: 7th December 2015.

Supported by D.G.I. (Spain) Project MTM2013-46961-P.

The energy of a q-dimensional regular distribution σ is defined in [8] (see also [6] and [18]) as the energy of the map $\sigma : (M, g) \to (G_q(M), g^K)$. An equivalent definition for oriented regular distributions, considered as sections of the bundle of unit decomposable q-vectors equipped with the *generalized Sasaki metric*, is given in [4] and [7], among others. For an arbitrary map $\sigma : (M, g) \to (N, h)$ between Riemannian manifolds, M being compact and oriented, the *energy* of σ is the integral

$$\mathcal{E}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2}\int_M \operatorname{trace} L_\sigma \, dv_M, \tag{1.1}$$

where L_σ is the $(1,1)$-tensor field determined by $(\sigma^* h)(X, Y) = g(L_\sigma X, Y)$, for all vector fields X, Y, and dv_M denotes the volume form on (M, g). (For more information about the energy functional see [14].) We are particularly interested on the energy functional of smooth distributions whose set of singular points $M_s = M \setminus M_r$ is given by the union

$$M_s = \{x_1, \ldots, x_a\} \cup \Big(\bigcup_{\beta=1}^{b} P_\beta \Big) \tag{1.2}$$

of a finite number of points $x_1, \ldots, x_a$ of M and of pairwise disjoint topologically embedded submanifolds P_β, $\beta = 1, \ldots, b$, with $1 \leq \dim P_\beta \leq n-1$. In fact, the main purpose of this paper is not the study of variational problems of this functional, but of the energy itself, of smooth distributions and singular foliations, as a natural extension from the theory about the energy of unit vector fields, with singularities as in (1.2), developed initially by Brito and Walczak in [3] and, later, by Boeckx, Vanhecke and the author in [1]. Note that a unit vector field with singularities determines an *oriented* one-dimensional almost regular distribution.

In terms of G-structures, each regular q^i-dimensional distribution σ^i, $i = 1, \ldots, l$, corresponds with a (unique) $S(O(q_i) \times O(n-q_i))$-reduction of $\mathcal{SO}(M_r^i)$. Then, using the *intrinsic torsion* ξ of each one of these $S(O(q_i) \times O(n-q_i))$-structures, the energy $\mathcal{E}(\sigma)$ of σ is expressed in Section 3 as

$$\mathcal{E}(\sigma) = \frac{n}{2}\mathrm{Vol}(M, g) + \frac{1}{4}\int_M \|\xi\|^2 dv_M.$$

If σ is completely integrable, the connected components of the maximal integral submanifolds are the leaves of a foliation $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ with singularities known as a *Stefan foliation* or a *singular foliation* [13]. The energy $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F})$ of $\mathcal{F}$ is defined as the energy $\mathcal{E}(\sigma)$ of the *tangent distribution* σ of $\mathcal{F}$.

In Section 4, an useful integral formula for almost regular distributions, with finite energy and M_r connected, of its *mixed scalar curvature* and of its *second mean curvatures* is obtained. This formula, which can be seen as a generalization the one given in [1], see also [3], for unit vector fields with singularities, plays a central role for the determination of some lower bounds of the energy of smooth distributions. Thus, in Section 5 we show that tori are the unique compact oriented surfaces admitting a one-dimensional almost regular distribution with finite energy, and for $n \geq 3$, we derive a lower bound for the energy in the set of all q-dimensional almost regular distributions, for each $q = 1, \ldots, n-1$. As an application of this last result, we find in Section 6 some special classes of foliations, as tubular and radial foliations around points or embedded submanifolds, particularly on

compact rank one symmetric spaces, and complex radial foliations in Hermitian geometry, that minimize the energy functional at least over a sufficiently wide set of smooth distributions. We show (Theorem 6.2) that radial and spherical foliations around points in the sphere and also in the real projective space are the *unique* absolute minimizers of the energy functional over the set of all one-dimensional and codimension one almost regular distributions, respectively. Moreover, we construct a family of foliations, obtained by deformation of a tubular foliation, which are not almost regular and have finite energy even when they are on surfaces different from tori.

2. Intrinsic torsion of smooth distributions

A *distribution* [12] on a differentiable manifold M is a mapping σ which assigns to every $x \in M$ a linear subspace $\sigma(x)$ of the tangent space T_xM. The subspaces $\sigma(x)$ may have different dimensions. Denote by $\mathfrak{X}_{loc}(M)$ the set of all C^∞ vector fields defined on open subsets of M. A vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}_{loc}(M)$ is said that *belongs* to the distribution σ, we shall put $X \in \sigma$, if $X_x \in \sigma(x)$ for every x in the domain of X and a subset $D \subset \mathfrak{X}_{loc}(M)$ is said to *span* σ if, for every $x \in M$, $\sigma(x)$ is the linear hull of vectors X_x, where $X \in D$. The distribution σ is then called a *smooth* or C^∞ *distribution*. In particular, any finite collection of vector fields determines a smooth distribution.

A point $x \in M$ will be a *regular point* if x is a local maximum of d or, equivalently, d is constant on an open neighborhood of x. All the other points of M will be called *singular points* of σ. Then $M = M_r \cup M_s$, where M_r and M_s denote the set of the regular and singular points of σ, respectively. M_r is obviously an open dense subset of M.

Let $\pi_{SO(n)} : \mathcal{SO}(M_r) \to M_r$ be the principal $SO(n)$-bundle of oriented orthonormal frames of (M_r, g_{M_r}), consisting on the pairs $p = (x; p_1, \dots, p_n)$ where $x \in M_r$ and $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ is an oriented and orthonormal basis of (T_xM_r, g_{M_r}). Then, $\mathcal{SO}(M_r) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} \mathcal{SO}(M_r^i)$ and $G_{q_i}(M_r^i)$, for each $i = 1, \dots, l$, can be identified with the orbit space $\mathcal{SO}(M_r^i)/S(O(q_i) \times O(n-q_i))$, via the mapping $p \cdot S(O(q_i) \times O(n-q_i)) \mapsto \mathbb{R}\{p_1, \dots, p_{q_i}\}$. So $G_{q_i}(M_r^i)$ is a *homogeneous fibre bundle* [19] with fibre type the real Grassmannian manifold $G_{q_i}(\mathbb{R}^n) = SO(n)/S(O(q_i) \times O(n-q_i))$ of the unoriented q_i-subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Let $\rho : \mathcal{SO}(M_r) \to G(M_r)$ be the map whose restriction to each $\mathcal{SO}(M_r^i)$ is the orbit map $p \mapsto p \cdot S(O(q_i \times O(n-q_i))$. Then $\pi_{\mathcal{SO}(n)} = \pi \circ \rho$ and each section in $\Gamma^\infty(G_{q_i}M_r^i)$ determines a reduction $\mathcal{SO}_{\sigma^i}(M_r^i)$ to $S(O(q_i) \times O(n-q_i))$ of $\mathcal{SO}(M_r^i)$ and conversely.

Hence, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of $S(O(q_i) \times O(n-q_i))$-structures and the manifold $\Gamma^\infty(G_{q_i}(M_r^i))$ of all q_i-dimensional distributions of $(M_r^i, g_{M_r^i})$. Moreover, the pair $(\mathcal{V}^i = \sigma^i, \mathcal{H}^i = (\sigma^i)^\perp)$, $i = 1, \dots, l$, where $(\sigma^i)^\perp$ is the orthogonal distribution of σ^i on $(M_r^i, g_{M_r^i})$, determines a *Riemannian almost-product* (AP) *structure*, i.e., an orthogonal $(1,1)$-tensor field P^i on $(M_r^i, g_{M_r^i})$ with $(P^i)^2 = \mathrm{Id}$ and $P^i \neq \pm\mathrm{Id}$. The *vertical* and *horizontal* distributions $\mathcal{V}^i$ and $\mathcal{H}^i$ are the corresponding ±1-eigendistributions of P^i.

Denote by $\mathfrak{so}(M_r^i)_{\sigma^i}$ the subbundle of $\mathfrak{so}(M_r^i)$ of the skew-symmetric endomorphisms A of TM_r^i such that $AP^i = -P^iA$. Following [8] (see also [9]), the *minimal connection* of σ^i, considered as a $S(O(q_i) \times O(n-q_i))$-structure, is the unique $S(O(q_i) \times O(n-q_i))$-connection $\nabla^{\sigma^i} = \nabla - \xi^i$ on M_r^i, where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of (M, g) and ξ^i,

known as the *intrinsic torsion* of σ^i, is an element of $T^*M_r^i \otimes \mathfrak{so}(M_r^i)_{\sigma^i}$. Then ∇^{σ^i} coincides with the *Schouten connection* of the AP structure and ξ^i is given by

$$(\xi^i)_X Y = -\frac{1}{2} P^i(\nabla_X P^i)Y, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M_r^i). \tag{2.3}$$

Let $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{H})$ be the complementary (smooth) distributions on M_r obtained taking the pairs $(\mathcal{V}^i, \mathcal{H}^i)$ on each M_r^i, for $i \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$, and let $p_{\mathcal{V}} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{Id} + P) : TM_r \to \mathcal{V}$ and $p_{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{Id} - P) : TM_r \to \mathcal{H}$ be the canonical projections, where P denotes the $(1,1)$-tensor field on M_r associated to $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{H})$. The $(1,2)$-tensor field ξ on M_r, defined by $\xi(x) = \xi^i(x)$ if $x \in M_r^i$, is called the *intrinsic torsion* of σ. Then ξ_X, for all $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M_r)$, is a global section of the vector bundle

$$\mathfrak{so}(M_r)_{\sigma_r} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} \mathfrak{so}(M_r^i)_{\sigma^i} = \{A \in \mathfrak{so}(M_r) \ \mid \ AP = -PA\}.$$

Moreover, from (2.3), ξ is determined by

$$\begin{array}{rclcrcl} \xi_U V & = & p_{\mathcal{H}}(\nabla_U V), & & \xi_U X & = & p_{\mathcal{V}}(\nabla_U X), \\ \xi_X U & = & p_{\mathcal{H}}(\nabla_X U), & & \xi_X Y & = & p_{\mathcal{V}}(\nabla_X Y). \end{array} \tag{2.4}$$

Here and in what follows U and V (resp., X and Y) denote local vector fields of $\mathcal{V}$ (resp., of $\mathcal{H}$).

A smooth distribution σ is said to be *involutive* if $[\sigma, \sigma] \subset \sigma$ and *completely integrable* if for every $x \in M$ there exists an integral submanifold L of σ such that $x \in L$. It follows that if σ is completely integrable then it must be involutive. The converse may not hold for the non-regular case (see [12]). The involutive condition is equivalent to be completely integrable the maximal regular distribution σ_r.

The *second fundamental forms* (symmetric tensors) $h_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{H}$, $h_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{V}$ and the *integrability tensors* (skew-symmetric tensors) $A_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{H}$, $A_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{V}$ of σ_r are defined in terms of ξ by the following formulas:

$$\begin{array}{rclcrcl} h_{\mathcal{V}}(U,V) & = & \frac{1}{2}(\xi_U V + \xi_V U), & & A_{\mathcal{V}}(U,V) & = & \frac{1}{2}(\xi_U V - \xi_V U), \\ h_{\mathcal{H}}(X,Y) & = & \frac{1}{2}(\xi_X Y + \xi_Y X), & & A_{\mathcal{H}}(X,Y) & = & \frac{1}{2}(\xi_X Y - \xi_Y X). \end{array}$$

Hence, $A_{\mathcal{V}}(U,V) = \frac{1}{2} p_{\mathcal{H}}[U,V]$ and $A_{\mathcal{H}}(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2} p_{\mathcal{V}}[X,Y]$ and so σ_r (resp., the orthogonal distribution $\sigma_r^\perp$ of σ_r) is completely integrable if and only if $A_{\mathcal{V}} = 0$ (resp., $A_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$). The distribution σ_r (resp., $\sigma_r^\perp$) is said to be *geodesic* if $h_{\mathcal{V}} = 0$ (resp., $h_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$). It means that all geodesics on M_r with initial vector in $\mathcal{V}$ (resp., $\mathcal{H}$) remain in $\mathcal{V}$ (resp., $\mathcal{H}$) for all time. The distribution σ_r (resp., $\sigma_r^\perp$) is said to be *Riemannian* if σ_r (resp., $\sigma_r^\perp$) is integrable and $\sigma_r^\perp$ (resp., σ_r) is geodesic. If moreover, $\sigma_r^\perp$ (resp., σ_r) is integrable, we say that σ_r (resp., $\sigma_r^\perp$) is a *polar distribution*.

Consider the *mean curvature vector fields* $H_{\mathcal{V}} \in \mathcal{H}$ and $H_{\mathcal{H}} \in \mathcal{V}$ of σ_r given by $H_{\mathcal{V}} = \operatorname{trace} h_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $H_{\mathcal{H}} = \operatorname{trace} h_{\mathcal{H}}$. Then they are locally expressed on each M_r^i as

$$H_{\mathcal{V}} = \sum_{a=1}^{q_i} \xi_{E_a} E_a, \quad H_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-q_i} \xi_{E_{q_i+j}} E_{q_i+j},$$

where $\{E_1,\dots,E_{q_i};E_{q_i+1},\dots,E_n\}$ is a local orthonormal frame on $(M_r^i,g_{M_r^i})$ adapted to $(\mathcal{V}^i,\mathcal{H}^i)$. If $H_{\mathcal{V}}$ (resp., $H_{\mathcal{H}}$) vanishes the distribution σ_r (resp., $\sigma_r^\perp$) is said to be *minimal*.

In the sequel, the following convention for indices is used: $a,b,\dots\in\{1,\dots,q_i\}$ and $j,k,\dots\in\{1,\dots,n-q_i\}$, for $i\in\{1,\dots,l\}$.

The *second mean curvatures* $\mu_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{H}}$ are locally defined as

$$\mu_{\mathcal{V}}=\sum_j\sum_{a<b}(\xi^j_{aa}\xi^j_{bb}-\xi^j_{ab}\xi^j_{ba}),\quad \mu_{\mathcal{H}}=\sum_a\sum_{j<k}(\xi^a_{jj}\xi^a_{kk}-\xi^a_{jk}\xi^a_{kj}),$$

where $\xi^j_{ab}=g(\xi_{E_a}E_b,E_{q+j})$ and $\xi^a_{jk}=g(\xi_{E_{q+j}}E_{q+k},E_a)$. Then,

$$2\mu_{\mathcal{V}}=\|H_{\mathcal{V}}\|^2+\|A_{\mathcal{V}}\|^2-\|h_{\mathcal{V}}\|^2,\quad 2\mu_{\mathcal{H}}=\|H_{\mathcal{H}}\|^2+\|A_{\mathcal{H}}\|^2-\|h_{\mathcal{H}}\|^2. \tag{2.5}$$

The maximal regular distribution σ_r (resp., $\sigma_r^\perp$) is said to be *umbilical* if each σ^i (resp., $(\sigma^i)^\perp$) is umbilical, that is, if $h_{\mathcal{V}^i}=\frac{g_{M_r^i}(\cdot,\cdot)}{q_i}H_{\mathcal{V}^i}$, (resp., $h_{\mathcal{H}^i}=\frac{g_{M_r^i}(\cdot,\cdot)}{n-q_i}H_{\mathcal{H}^i}$), or equivalently $\xi^j_{ab}=-\xi^j_{ba}$ and $\xi^j_{aa}=\xi^j_{bb}$, for all $a\neq b$ (resp., $\xi^a_{jk}=-\xi^a_{kj}$ and $\xi^a_{jj}=\xi^a_{kk}$, for all $j\neq k$).

Remark 2.1. If σ_r (resp., $\sigma_r^\perp$) is umbilical then $\mu_{\mathcal{V}}\geq 0$ (resp., $\mu_{\mathcal{H}}\geq 0$). Moreover, $\mu_{\mathcal{V}^i}=0$ (resp., $\mu_{\mathcal{H}^i}=0$) if and only if σ^i (resp., $(\sigma^i)^\perp$) is integrable and geodesic.

3. Energy of smooth distributions

The Kaluza Klein metric g^K relative to $(g_{M_r},\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ on the Grassmannian bundle $G(M_r)$, where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is the inner product $\langle X,Y\rangle=-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{trace}XY$ on $\mathfrak{so}(n)$, is defined as follows (see [8], [9] and [19] for more information): Let $TG(M_r)=\mathrm{V}\oplus\mathrm{H}$, where

$$\mathrm{V}=\operatorname{Ker}\pi_*=\rho_*(\operatorname{Ker}(\pi_{SO(n)})_*),\quad \mathrm{H}=\rho_*(\operatorname{Ker}\omega)$$

and ω is the $\mathfrak{so}(n)$-valued connection form of the Levi-Civita connection on $\mathcal{SO}(M_r)$. Because $\rho_{*p}B^*_p=0$, for all $p\in\mathcal{SO}(M_r^i)$ and $B\in\mathfrak{so}(q_i)\oplus\mathfrak{so}(n-q_i)$, the elements of $\mathrm{V}_{\rho(p)}$ may be written as $\rho_{*p}A^*_p$, for some A belonging to the $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$-orthogonal complement $\mathfrak{m}_i$ of $\mathfrak{so}(q_i)\oplus\mathfrak{so}(n-q_i)$ in $\mathfrak{so}(n)$, A^* being its fundamental vector field on $\mathcal{SO}(M_r)$. Consider the vector bundle $\mathcal{SO}(M_r)_\rho$ given by the union

$$\mathcal{SO}(M_r)_\rho=\bigcup_{i=1}^{l}(\mathcal{SO}(M_r^i)\times_{S(O(q_i)\times SO(n-q_i))}\mathfrak{m}_i)$$

of associated bundles to $\rho_{|\mathcal{SO}(M_r^i)}$. The map $\iota:\mathrm{V}\to\mathcal{SO}(M_r)_\rho$, $\rho_{*p}A^*_p\mapsto[(p,A)]$, is a vector bundle isomorphism and it may be extended to a type of *connection map* $\mathcal{K}:TG(M_r)\to\mathcal{SO}(M_r)_\rho$ by saying that $\mathcal{K}(\eta)=0$, for all $\eta\in\mathrm{H}$, and $\mathcal{K}(\eta)=\iota(\eta)$ if $\eta\in\mathrm{V}$. The *Kaluza-Klein metric* g^K on $G(M_r)$ is then determined by

$$g^K(\eta_1,\eta_2)=g_{M_r}(\pi_*\eta_1,\pi_*\eta_2)+\langle\mathcal{K}(\eta_1),\mathcal{K}(\eta_2)\rangle, \tag{3.6}$$

where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ also denotes the fibre metric induced by $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ restricted to each $\mathfrak{m}_i$. Given a smooth distribution σ on M, the pullback bundle $\pi^*\mathfrak{so}(M_r)_{\sigma_r}$ by π of $\mathfrak{so}(M_r)_{\sigma_r}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{SO}(M_r)_\rho$ (see [9, Remark 3.2]) and a nice property (see proof of [9, Theorem 3.3]) relating $\mathcal{K}$ with the intrinsic torsion establishes that

$$\mathcal{K}(\sigma_{r*x}X_x)=(\sigma_r(x),\xi_{X_x})\in\pi^*\mathfrak{so}(M_r)_{\sigma_r}.$$

Then, using (3.6), the pull-back metric $\sigma_r^* g^K$ on M_r is given by

$$(\sigma_r^* g^K)(X,Y) = g_{M_r}(X,Y) - \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{trace}\xi_X \circ \xi_Y, \quad X,Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M_r).$$

Hence, the tensor field L_{σ_r} on M_r can be expressed as $L_{\sigma_r} = \mathrm{Id} + \frac{1}{2}\xi^t \circ \xi$, where ξ is considered as the map $\xi : \mathfrak{X}(M_r) \to \Gamma^\infty(\mathfrak{so}(M_r)_{\sigma_r})$, $\xi(X) = \xi_X$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M_r)$, and $\xi^t : \Gamma^\infty(\mathfrak{so}(M_r)_{\sigma_r}) \to \mathfrak{X}(M_r)$ is the adjoint operator of ξ with respect to g_{M_r}, that is, $g_{M_r}(\xi^t(\varphi), X) = g_{M_r}(\varphi, \xi(X))$ for all $\varphi \in \Gamma^\infty(\mathfrak{so}(M_r)_{\sigma_r})$. Then,

$$\operatorname{trace} L_{\sigma_r} = n + \frac{1}{2}\|\xi\|^2. \tag{3.7}$$

Given the set of singular points M_s of σ as in (1.2), we put $\vec{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_a; \bar{\varepsilon}_1, \ldots, \bar{\varepsilon}_b) \in \mathbb{R}^{a+b}$, where ε_α, $\bar{\varepsilon}_\beta > 0$ and $\alpha = 1, \ldots, a$; $\beta = 1, \ldots, b$. Then, for sufficiently small ε_α, $\bar{\varepsilon}_\beta > 0$, the subset $M(M_s, \vec{\varepsilon})$ of M_r defined as

$$M(M_s, \vec{\varepsilon}) = M \setminus \Big(\bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{a} B(x_\alpha, \varepsilon_\alpha) \cup \bigcup_{\beta=1}^{b} T(P_\beta, \bar{\varepsilon}_\beta) \Big),$$

where $B(x_\alpha, \varepsilon_\alpha)$ denotes the geodesic ball of radius ε_α and center at x_α and $T(P_\beta, \bar{\varepsilon}_\beta)$, the tube of radius $\bar{\varepsilon}_\beta$ about P_β, is an n-dimensional smooth compact manifold. Its boundary $\partial M(M_s, \vec{\varepsilon})$ is given by the disjoint union

$$\partial M(M_s, \vec{\varepsilon}) = \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{a} S(x_\alpha, \varepsilon_\alpha) \cup \bigcup_{\beta=1}^{b} P_\beta(\bar{\varepsilon}_\beta), \tag{3.8}$$

where $S(x_\alpha, \varepsilon_\alpha)$ is the geodesic sphere on M of radius ε_α and center at x_α and $P_\beta(\bar{\varepsilon}_\beta)$ is the tubular hypersurface about P_β at a distance $\bar{\varepsilon}_\beta$ from P_β.

The *energy* $\mathcal{E}(\sigma)$ of the distribution σ on M is defined as the limit

$$\mathcal{E}(\sigma) = \lim_{\vec{\varepsilon} \to \vec{0}} \mathcal{E}_{M(M_s, \vec{\varepsilon})}(\sigma_r),$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{M(M_s, \vec{\varepsilon})}(\sigma_r)$ is the energy of the mapping $\sigma_r \circ \iota : (M(M_s, \vec{\varepsilon}), \iota^* g_{M_r}) \to (G(M_r), g^K)$, ι being the inclusion of $M(M_s, \vec{\varepsilon})$ into M_r. Note that $\mathcal{E}(\sigma)$ may be infinite. From (1.1) and (3.7), we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{M(M_s, \vec{\varepsilon})}(\sigma_r) = \frac{n}{2}\mathrm{Vol}(M(M_s, \vec{\varepsilon})) + \frac{1}{4}\int_{M(M_s, \vec{\varepsilon})} \|\xi\|^2 dv_{M(M_s, \vec{\varepsilon})}.$$

For an arbitrary almost continuous function $f : M_r \to \mathbb{R}$ on M_r, we establishes that

$$\int_M f dv_M = \lim_{\vec{\varepsilon} \to \vec{0}} \int_{M(M_s, \vec{\varepsilon})} f dv_{M(M_s, \vec{\varepsilon})},$$

if the limit exists. Therefore, the energy $\mathcal{E}(\sigma)$ of σ takes the form

$$\mathcal{E}(\sigma) = \frac{n}{2}\mathrm{Vol}(M, g) + \frac{1}{4}\int_M \|\xi\|^2 dv_M.$$

The relevant part of this formula,

$$B(\sigma) = \frac{1}{4}\int_M \|\xi\|^2 dv_M,$$

will be called the *total bending* of the distribution. Because $\|\xi\|^2 \geq 0$, $B(\sigma)$ is well-defined (may be infinite) and it is zero if and only if ξ vanishes on whole M_r or, equivalently, the distributions σ_r and $\sigma_r^\perp$ are both geodesic and integrable. Note that $\mathcal{E}_{M(M_s,\vec{\varepsilon})}(\sigma_r) = \mathcal{E}_{M(M_s,\vec{\varepsilon})}(\sigma_r^\perp)$ and so $\mathcal{E}(\sigma)$ can be also given by $\mathcal{E}(\sigma) = \lim_{\vec{\varepsilon}\to\vec{0}} \mathcal{E}_{M(M_s,\vec{\varepsilon})}(\sigma_r^\perp)$.

Let $\Sigma_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\Sigma_{\mathcal{H}}$ be the smooth functions on M_r, locally defined on the domain in M_r^i, for $i = 1, \ldots, l$, of a $(\mathcal{V}_i, \mathcal{H}_i)$-adapted frame $\{E_a; E_{q_i+j}\}$, as

$$\Sigma_{\mathcal{V}} = \sum_{a,b;j} (\xi_{ab}^j)^2, \quad \Sigma_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{a;jk} (\xi_{jk}^a)^2.$$

Then, from (2.4), we have $\|\xi\|^2 = 2(\Sigma_{\mathcal{V}} + \Sigma_{\mathcal{H}})$. Hence,

$$B(\sigma) = \sum_i (B^{\mathcal{V}}(\sigma^i) + B^{\mathcal{H}}(\sigma^i)), \tag{3.9}$$

where,

$$B^{\mathcal{V}}(\sigma^i) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\bar{M}_r^i} \Sigma_{\mathcal{V}} dv_{\bar{M}_r^i}, \qquad B^{\mathcal{H}}(\sigma^i) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\bar{M}_r^i} \Sigma_{\mathcal{H}} dv_{\bar{M}_r^i}$$

and $\bar{M}_r^i$ is the closure of M_r^i.

Lemma 3.1. *If $q_i \geq 2$, then*

$$B^{\mathcal{V}}(\sigma^i) \geq \frac{1}{q_i - 1}\int_{\bar{M}_r^i} \mu_{\mathcal{V}^i}\, dv_{\bar{M}_r^i} \tag{3.10}$$

and, if $n - q_i \geq 2$, then

$$B^{\mathcal{H}}(\sigma^i) \geq \frac{1}{n - q_i - 1}\int_{\bar{M}_r^i} \mu_{\mathcal{H}^i}\, dv_{\bar{M}_r^i}. \tag{3.11}$$

Moreover, the equality in (3.10) (*resp., in* (3.11)) *holds if and only if*

(a) *for $q_i = 2$ (resp., $n - q_i = 2$), σ^i (resp., $(\sigma^i)^\perp$) is umbilical;*
(b) *for $q_i \geq 3$ (resp., $n - q_i \geq 3$), σ^i (resp., $(\sigma^i)^\perp$) is umbilical and integrable.*

Proof. For each $j = 1, \ldots, n - q_i$, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \textstyle\sum_{a<b}(\xi_{aa}^j - \xi_{bb}^j)^2 &= (q_i - 1)\textstyle\sum_a (\xi_{aa}^j)^2 - 2\sum_{a<b} \xi_{aa}^j \xi_{bb}^j, \\ \textstyle\sum_{a<b}(\xi_{ab}^j + \xi_{ba}^j)^2 &= \textstyle\sum_{a\neq b}(\xi_{ab}^j)^2 + 2\sum_{a<b} \xi_{ab}^j \xi_{ba}^j. \end{aligned}$$

Then, summing these two equations and using that

$$\sum_{a,b}(\xi_{ab}^j)^2 = \sum_a (\xi_{aa}^j)^2 + \sum_{a\neq b}(\xi_{ab}^j)^2,$$

we obtain, for $q_i \geq 2$, that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a,b}(\xi_{ab}^j)^2 &= \tfrac{1}{q_i - 1}\Big\{ \sum_{a<b}(\xi_{aa}^j - \xi_{bb}^j)^2 + \sum_{a<b}(\xi_{ab}^j + \xi_{ba}^j)^2 \\ &\quad + (q_i - 2)\sum_{a\neq b}(\xi_{ab}^j)^2 + 2\sum_{a<b}(\xi_{aa}^j \xi_{bb}^j - \xi_{ab}^j \xi_{ba}^j)\Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

In similar way, for $n-q_i\geq 2$ and for each $a=1,\ldots,q_i$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j,k}(\xi^a_{jk})^2 &= \tfrac{1}{n-q_i-1}\Big\{\sum_{j<k}(\xi^a_{jj}-\xi^a_{kk})^2+\sum_{j<k}(\xi^a_{jk}+\xi^a_{kj})^2\\ &\quad +(n-q_i-2)\sum_{j\neq k}(\xi^a_{jk})^2+2\sum_{j<k}(\xi^a_{jj}\xi^a_{kk}-\xi^a_{jk}\xi^a_{kj})\Big\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then, we get the lemma. □

Hence, using (3.9), we prove the following result.

Proposition 3.2. *If $n\geq 3$, then*

$$B(\sigma)\geq\sum_{i=1}^{l}\int_{\bar{M}^i_r}\Big(\frac{\mu_{\mathcal{V}^i}}{q_i-1}+\frac{\mu_{\mathcal{H}^i}}{n-q_i-1}\Big)dv_{\bar{M}^i_r}. \tag{3.12}$$

The equality holds if and only if the distributions σ^i (resp., $(\sigma^i)^\perp$), for $i=1,\ldots,l$, are:

(i) *geodesic, if $q_i=1$ (resp., $q_i=n-1$);*
(ii) *umbilical, if $q_i=2$ (resp., $q_i=n-2$);*
(iii) *umbilical and integrable, if $3\leq q_i\leq n-1$ (resp., $n-q_i\geq 3$).*

Remark 3.3. If $q_i=1$ (resp., $q_i=n-1$) then $\mu_{\mathcal{V}^i}=0$ (resp., $\mu_{\mathcal{H}^i}=0$). In formula (3.12) and for this case, the quotient $\mu_{\mathcal{V}^i}/(q_i-1)$ (resp., $\mu_{\mathcal{H}^i}/(n-q_i-1)$) is supposed to be zero.

4. An integral formula for almost regular distributions

The *mixed scalar curvature* $s_{\rm mix}(\sigma)$ of a smooth distribution σ is the function on the set $M_r=\cup_{i=1}^l M^i_r\subset M$ of its regular points, locally defined on each M^i_r by

$$s_{\rm mix}(\sigma)=\sum_{a;j}g_{M^i_r}(R_{E_aE_{q_i+j}}E_a,E_{q+j}),$$

where $\{E_a;E_{q_i+j}\}$ is an adapted local orthonormal frame of $(\mathcal{V}^i,\mathcal{H}^i)$ on $(M^i_r,g_{M^i_r})$ and R is the Riemannian curvature tensor taken with the sign convention $R_{XY}=\nabla_{[X,Y]}-[\nabla_X,\nabla_Y]$, for all $X,Y\in\mathfrak{X}(M)$. In particular, if σ is an one-dimensional (resp., a codimension one) almost regular distribution, the mixed scalar curvature is locally expressed as $\mathrm{Ric}(V,V)$, where V is a local unit vector field belonging to $\mathcal{V}$ (resp., to $\mathcal{H}$) and Ric is the Ricci tensor of (M,g). In [16] it is proved the formula

$$s_{\rm mix}(\sigma)=\mathrm{div}(H_{\mathcal{V}}+H_{\mathcal{H}})+\|H_{\mathcal{V}}\|^2+\|H_{\mathcal{H}}\|^2+\|A_{\mathcal{V}}\|^2+\|A_{\mathcal{H}}\|^2-\|h_{\mathcal{V}}\|^2-\|h_{\mathcal{H}}\|^2,$$

which, from (2.5), can be written as

$$s_{\rm mix}(\sigma)=\mathrm{div}(H_{\mathcal{V}}+H_{\mathcal{H}})+2(\mu_{\mathcal{V}}+\mu_{\mathcal{H}}). \tag{4.13}$$

In what follows, we shall suppose that M_s, given as in (1.2), satisfies the additional condition that $\dim P_\beta\leq n-2$ for each $\beta=1,\ldots,b$. (We again note that M_s may be empty). From the next lemma, this implies that σ must be almost regular.

We say that σ is *trivial* if it is an n-dimensional almost regular distribution. Note that the total bending of a trivial distribution is zero.

Lemma 4.1. *If $\dim P_\beta\leq n-2$ for all $\beta\in\{1,\ldots,b\}$, then M_r is connected. Moreover, if σ is not a trivial distribution, the converse holds.*

Proof. Suppose that M_r is not connected. Then there exist two disjoint nonempty open subsets M_r' and M_r'' such that $M_r = M_r' \cup M_r''$. Because M_r is dense in M, we have that $M = \bar{M}_r' \cup \bar{M}_r''$ and, from the connectedness of M, it follows that the intersection of their frontiers, $\mathrm{Fr}(M_r') \cap \mathrm{Fr}(M_r'')$, is nonempty. Since $\mathrm{Fr}(M_r') \cap \mathrm{Fr}(M_r'')$ is a subset of M_s, each one of its connected components is a regular submanifold P (may be a point) of M. Let $(\mathcal{U}, \varphi = (x^1, \ldots, x^n))$ be a sufficiently small coordinate neighborhood adapted to P. Then, $\mathcal{U} \cap P = \mathcal{U} \cap (\mathrm{Fr}(M_r') \cap \mathrm{Fr}(M_r''))$ and it is a k-dimensional slice for some $k \leq n-1$. Now, taking into account that $\mathcal{U} \cap M_r'$ and $\mathcal{U} \cap M_r''$ are non-empty open subsets in $\mathcal{U}$, k must be equals to $n-1$ and so, $\dim P = n-1$. This contradicts the hypothesis of the lemma.

For the converse, suppose that M_r is connected and $\dim P_\beta = n-1$, for some $\beta \in \{1, \ldots, b\}$. Then d takes the value n on whole M_r and so σ must be trivial. □

Remark 4.2. If $\dim P_\beta = n-1$, for some $\beta \in \{1, \ldots, b\}$, then there exist connected components of M_r where d is constant equals to n. From Lemma 4.1, any non-almost regular distribution satisfies this condition.

Next, we prove the following integral formula, which extends the one given in [1, Lemma 2.6] for unit vectors with singularities.

Theorem 4.3. *If $B(\sigma) < \infty$, then*

$$\int_M s_{\mathrm{mix}}(\sigma)\, dv_M = 2 \int_M (\mu_{\mathcal{V}} + \mu_{\mathcal{H}})\, dv_M. \tag{4.14}$$

For the proof, we first need the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.4. [1, Lemma 2.4] *Let $f : M_r \to [0, \infty[$ be an almost continous function on M_r and suppose that*

(i) *there exists a point $x \in M_s$ such that*

$$\liminf_{r \to 0^+} \int_{S(x,r)} f\, dv_{S(x,r)} > 0 \quad \text{or,}$$

(ii) *there exists an embedded submanifold $P \subset M_s$, $\dim P \leq n-2$, such that*

$$\liminf_{r \to 0^+} \int_{P(r)} f\, dv_{P(r)} > 0,$$

then

$$\int_M f^2\, dv_M = \infty.$$

Lemma 4.5. *There exists a constant C_n, which only depends on n, such that*

$$\|H_{\mathcal{V}} + H_{\mathcal{H}}\| \leq C_n \|\xi\|.$$

Proof. Taking a $(\mathcal{V}_i, \mathcal{H}_i)$-adapted local orthonormal frame $\{E_a; E_{q_i+j}\}$ in each open subset M_r^i, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_{\mathcal{V}} + H_{\mathcal{H}}\|^2 &= \|H_{\mathcal{V}}\|^2 + \|H_{\mathcal{H}}\|^2 = \textstyle\sum_j \Big(\sum_a (\xi_{aa}^j)^2 + 2 \sum_{a<b} \xi_{aa}^j \xi_{bb}^j \Big) \\ &\quad + \textstyle\sum_a \Big(\sum_j (\xi_{jj}^a)^2 + 2 \sum_{j<k} \xi_{jj}^a \xi_{kk}^a \Big). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, because $\|\xi\|^2 = 2(\Sigma_{\mathcal{V}} + \Sigma_{\mathcal{H}})$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\xi\|^2 - \|H_{\mathcal{V}} + H_{\mathcal{H}}\|^2 &= (2\Sigma_{\mathcal{V}} - \|H_{\mathcal{V}}\|^2) + (2\Sigma_{\mathcal{H}} - \|H_{\mathcal{H}}\|^2)\\ &= \sum_j \Big(\sum_a (\xi^j_{aa})^2 + 2\sum_{a\neq b}(\xi^j_{ab})^2 - 2\sum_{a<b}\xi^j_{aa}\xi^j_{bb}\Big)\\ &\quad + \sum_a \Big(\sum_j (\xi^a_{jj})^2 + 2\sum_{j\neq k}(\xi^a_{jk})^2 - 2\sum_{j<k}\xi^a_{jj}\xi^a_{kk}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}(q_i+1)\Sigma_{\mathcal{V}} - \|H_{\mathcal{V}}\|^2 &= (q_i-1)\Sigma_{\mathcal{V}} + (2\Sigma_{\mathcal{V}} - \|H_{\mathcal{V}}\|^2)\\ &= \sum_j \Big(\sum_{a<b}(\xi^j_{aa} - \xi^j_{bb})^2 + 2\sum_{a<b}\xi^j_{aa}\xi^j_{bb} + (q_i-1)\sum_{a\neq b}(\xi^j_{ab})^2\Big)\\ &\quad + \sum_j \Big(\sum_a (\xi^j_{aa})^2 + 2\sum_{a\neq b}(\xi^j_{ab})^2 - 2\sum_{a<b}\xi^j_{aa}\xi^j_{bb}\Big)\\ &= \sum_j \Big(\sum_{a<b}(\xi^j_{aa} - \xi^j_{bb})^2 + (q_i+1)\sum_{a\neq b}(\xi^j_{ab})^2 + \sum_a (\xi^j_{aa})^2\Big) \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we get

$$\begin{aligned}(n-q_i+1)\Sigma_{\mathcal{H}} - \|H_{\mathcal{H}}\|^2 &= \sum_a \Big(\sum_{j<k}(\xi^a_{jj} - \xi^a_{kk})^2\\ &\qquad + (n-q_i+1)\sum_{j\neq k}(\xi^a_{jk})^2 + \sum_a (\xi^a_{jj})^2\Big) \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have proved on M^i_r that $\frac{(q_i+1)(n-q_i+1)}{2}\|\xi\|^2 - \|H_{\mathcal{V}} + H_{\mathcal{H}}\|^2 \geq 0$. Because the function $f(x) = \frac{(x+1)(n-x+1)}{2}$ has a maximum at $x = \frac{n}{2}$, the inequality $\frac{(n+2)^2}{8}\|\xi\|^2 - \|H_{\mathcal{V}} + H_{\mathcal{H}}\|^2 \geq 0$ holds on whole M_r. Thus, taking $C_n = \frac{(n+2)\sqrt{2}}{4}$, the lemma is proved. □

Proof of Theorem 4.3. Denote by N the unit outward normal vector field to $\partial M(M_s, \vec{\varepsilon})$. Then, from (4.13) and the divergence theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{M(M_s,\vec{\varepsilon})}(s_{\mathrm{mix}} - 2(\mu_{\mathcal{V}} + \mu_{\mathcal{H}}))\, dv_{M(M_s,\vec{\varepsilon})}\right| &\leq \int_{\partial M(M_s,\vec{\varepsilon})} |g(H_{\mathcal{V}} + H_{\mathcal{H}}, N)|\, dv_{\partial M(M_s,\vec{\varepsilon})}\\ &\leq \int_{\partial M(M_s,\vec{\varepsilon})} \|H_{\mathcal{V}} + H_{\mathcal{H}}\|\, dv_{\partial M(M_s,\vec{\varepsilon})}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, using Lemma 4.5, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_{M(M_s,\vec{\varepsilon})}(s_{\mathrm{mix}} - 2(\mu_{\mathcal{V}} + \mu_{\mathcal{H}}))\, dv_{M(M_s,\vec{\varepsilon})}\right| \leq C_n \int_{\partial M(M_s,\vec{\varepsilon})} \|\xi\|\, dv_{\partial M(M_s,\vec{\varepsilon})}\\ &= C_n \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^a \int_{S(x_\alpha,\varepsilon_\alpha)} \|\xi\|\, dv_{S(x_\alpha,\varepsilon_\alpha)} + \sum_{\beta=1}^b \int_{P_\beta(\bar{\varepsilon}_\beta)} \|\xi\|\, dv_{P_\beta(\bar{\varepsilon}_\beta)}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Now, putting $f = \|\xi\|$ in Lemma 4.4, we have

$$\liminf_{r_\alpha\to 0^+} \int_{S(x_\alpha,\varepsilon_\alpha)} \|\xi\|\, dv_{S(x_\alpha,\varepsilon_\alpha)} = \liminf_{\bar{r}_\beta\to 0^+} \int_{P_\beta(\bar{\varepsilon}_\beta)} \|\xi\|\, dv_{P_\beta(\bar{\varepsilon}_\beta)} = 0,$$

for $\alpha = 1, \ldots, a$, $\beta = 1, \ldots, b$. This implies that the integral $\int_M (\mu_{\mathcal{V}} + \mu_{\mathcal{H}})\, dv_M$ converges and the result follows.

Remark 4.6. For codimension one almost regular distributions on a compact Einstein manifold (M, g), the integral equation (4.14) takes the form

$$\int_M \mu_{\mathcal{V}} dv_M = \frac{\tau}{2n}\mathrm{Vol}(M, g), \tag{4.15}$$

where τ is the scalar curvature of (M, g). In [1, Remark 3.5] it is proved that the total bending of the orthogonal distribution to the radial vector field around a point in the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^m(\lambda)$ is infinite and that (4.15) is not satisfied. Hence, the assumption $B(\sigma) < \infty$ in Theorem 4.3 can not be omitted.

5. The energy functional of almost regular distributions

Let σ be a q-dimensional almost regular distribution on an n-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold (M, g). Suppose, as in previous section, that the set of singular points M_s of σ is of the form (1.2) and $\dim P_\beta \leq n-2$ for each $\beta = 1, \ldots, b$. Next, we extend, using Theorem 4.3, some results about the total bending of unit vector fields with singularities given in [1] and [3]. For the two-dimensional case, we have:

Theorem 5.1. *Tori are the unique compact oriented surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ admitting an one-dimensional almost regular distribution σ, with a finite set of singular points, which may be empty, such that $B(\sigma) < \infty$.*

Proof. Since the mixed curvature of σ on a surface M coincides with the restriction of its Gauss curvature K to M_r, it follows from Theorem 4.3 that

$$\int_M K \, dv_M = 0.$$

Then, from the Gauss-Bonnet Theorem and the classification of oriented compact surfaces, M has to be a torus. For the converse, consider the rotational torus T^2 in $\mathbb{R}^3$ given by

$$T^2 = \{(x, y, z) = ((R + r\cos\theta)\cos\varphi, (R + r\cos\theta)\sin\varphi, r\sin\theta)\}, \quad 0 < r < R.$$

The level sets of the (isoparametric) function $f : T^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, $f(x, y, z) = z$, determine a regular (Riemannian) foliation $\mathcal{F}$. A local orthonormal frame adapted to the corresponding tangent distribution σ of $\mathcal{F}$ is given by the vector fields $E_1 = \frac{1}{(R+r\cos\theta)}\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi}$, $E_2 = \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}$. Since $[E_1, E_2] = -\frac{\sin\theta}{R+r\cos\theta}E_1$, the Koszul formula implies that $\xi^2_{11} = \frac{\sin\theta}{R+r\cos\theta}$ and $\xi^1_{22} = 0$. Hence,

$$B(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{2\pi}\Big(\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{\sin^2\theta}{(R + r\cos\theta)^2}d\theta\Big)d\varphi \leq 2\left(\frac{\pi}{R-r}\right)^2 < \infty.$$

□

For $n \geq 3$, we extend the results in [1, Theorem 2.2] and in [3, Theorem 1].

Theorem 5.2. *Let σ be a q-dimensional almost regular distribution on an n-dimensional, $n \geq 3$, compact Riemannian manifold (M, g). We have the following cases:*

(I) *If $q = 1$ (resp., $n - q = 1$), then*

$$B(\sigma) \geq \frac{1}{2(n-2)}\int_M s_{\mathrm{mix}}(\sigma)\, dv_M. \tag{5.16}$$

The equality holds if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(i) *if $n = 3$, σ_r (resp., $\sigma_r^\perp$) is geodesic and $\sigma_r^\perp$ (resp., σ_r) is umbilical.*

(ii) *if $n \geq 4$, $\sigma_r^\perp$ (resp., σ_r) defines an umbilical Riemannian foliation on M_r.*

(II) *If* $q = \frac{n}{2}$, *then*

$$B(\sigma) \geq \frac{1}{n-2}\int_M s_{\mathrm{mix}}(\sigma)\, dv_M.$$

The equality holds if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:
(i) *if* $q = 2$ $(n = 4)$, σ_r *and* $\sigma_r^\perp$ *are umbilical distributions.*
(ii) *if* $q \geq 3$, σ_r *and* $\sigma_r^\perp$ *define umbilical foliations on* M_r.

(III) *If* $1 < q < \frac{n}{2}$ $(n \geq 5)$ *and* σ_r *is an umbilical distribution (resp.,* $\frac{n}{2} < q < n-1$ *and* $\sigma_r^\perp$ *umbilical), then*

$$B(\sigma) \geq \frac{1}{2(n-q-1)}\int_M s_{\mathrm{mix}}(\sigma)\, dv_M \quad (\textit{resp.}, B(\sigma) \geq \frac{1}{2(q-1)}\int_M s_{\mathrm{mix}}(\sigma)\, dv_M).$$

The equality holds if and only if $\sigma_r^\perp$ *(resp.,* σ_r*) defines an umbilical polar foliation on* M_r.

Proof. We can suppose that $B(\sigma) < \infty$ because if $B(\sigma) = \infty$, there is nothing to prove. Moreover, from (3.12), one gets

$$B(\sigma) \geq \int_M \Big(\frac{\mu_{\mathcal{V}}}{q-1} + \frac{\mu_{\mathcal{H}}}{n-q-1}\Big) dv_M. \tag{5.17}$$

If $q = 1$ then $\mu_{\mathcal{V}} = 0$. Hence, (5.17) and Theorem 4.3 imply that

$$B(\sigma) \geq \frac{1}{n-2}\int_M \mu_{\mathcal{H}}\, dv_M = \frac{1}{2(n-2)}\int_M s_{\mathrm{mix}}(\sigma)\, dv_M.$$

From Proposition 3.2, we get (i) and (ii) in (I). In similar way, for $q = n-1$ is also proved.

If $q = \frac{n}{2}$, the inequality (5.17) together Theorem 4.3 imply that

$$B(\sigma) \geq \frac{1}{q-1}\int_M (\mu_{\mathcal{V}} + \mu_{\mathcal{H}}) dv_M = \frac{1}{n-2}\int_M s_{\mathrm{mix}}(\sigma)\, dv_M.$$

Moreover, applying Proposition 3.2, the case (II) follows.

Finally, suppose that $1 < q < \frac{n}{2}$ and σ_r is an umbilical distribution. Then, from Remark 2.1, (5.17) and Theorem 4.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B(\sigma) &\geq \frac{1}{n-q-1}\int_M (\mu_{\mathcal{V}} + \mu_{\mathcal{H}})\, dv_M + \frac{n-2q}{(q-1)(n-q-1)}\int_M \mu_{\mathcal{V}}\, dv_M \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n-q-1}\int_M (\mu_{\mathcal{V}} + \mu_{\mathcal{H}})\, dv_M = \frac{1}{2(n-q-1)}\int_M s_{\mathrm{mix}}(\sigma)\, dv_M. \end{aligned}$$

For the equality in this expression, we use again Remark 2.1 and Proposition 3.2. This proves (III). For the case $\frac{n}{2} < q < n-1$ and $\sigma_r^\perp$ umbilical we use similar arguments. □

For one-dimensional or codimension one almost regular distributions on compact Einstein manifolds, the inequality (5.16) may also written as

$$B(\sigma) \geq \frac{\tau}{2n(n-2)}\mathrm{Vol}(M, g).$$

In [5] it is proved that if an irreducible symmetric space M admits a totally umbilical hypersurface N then both M and N are of constant curvature. Moreover, there is no totally umbilical submanifold of codimension less than rank $M - 1$. Hence, Theorem 5.2 leads to the following corollary:

Corollary 5.3. *Let (M,g) be an n-dimensional compact, irreducible symmetric space equipped with a q-dimensional almost regular distribution σ. Then, we have:*

(i) *If $q=1$ or $q=n-1$, $n\geq 4$ and (M,g) has nonconstant sectional curvature,*

$$B(\sigma)>\frac{\tau}{2n(n-2)}\mathrm{Vol}(M,g).$$

(ii) *If $n=2q\geq 6$ and* rank $M>q+1$,

$$B(\sigma)>\frac{1}{n-2}\int_M s_{\mathrm{mix}}(\sigma)\,dv_M.$$

Euclidean spheres, together projective spaces $\mathbb{K}P^m$, where $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$, and the Cayley plane $\mathbb{C}aP^2$, are all the compact rank one symmetric spaces. Denote by $S^n(\lambda)$ and $\mathbb{R}P^m(\lambda)$ the sphere and the real projective space of constant curvature λ, by $\mathbb{C}P^m(\lambda)$ the complex projective space with constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c=4\lambda$, by $\mathbb{H}P^m(\lambda)$ the quaternionic projective space with constant quaternionic sectional curvature $c=4\lambda$ and by $\mathbb{C}aP^2(\lambda)$ the Cayley plane with constant Cayley sectional curvature $c=4\lambda$. We denote by J or J_1 the complex structure if $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{C}$, and by $\{J_1,\dots J_\nu\}$ (a local basis of) the quaternionic Kähler structure or the Cayley structure, depending on wether $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{H}$ and $\nu=3$ or $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{C}a$ and $\nu=7$.

A distribution σ on $\mathbb{K}P^m(\lambda)$, $\mathbb{K}\neq\mathbb{R}$, is said to be *invariant* if $J_s\mathcal{V}\subset\mathcal{V}$, for all $s=1,\dots,\nu$. Then also $J_s\mathcal{H}\subset\mathcal{H}$ and, for each $i=1,\dots,l$, $\dim\mathcal{V}^i=(\nu+1)\kappa_i$, for some $\kappa_i\leq m$.

Corollary 5.4. *Let σ be an invariant q-dimensional almost regular distribution on a compact projective space $(M,g)=\mathbb{K}P^m(\lambda)$, $\mathbb{K}\neq\mathbb{R}$. We have:*

(I) *If $q=\frac{n}{2}$, then*

$$B(\sigma)\geq\frac{q^2}{n-2}\lambda\mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{K}P^m(\lambda)).$$

The equality holds if and only if one of the conditions is satisfied:
(i) *if $(M,g)=\mathbb{C}P^2(\lambda)$, σ_r and $\sigma_r^\perp$ are umbilical distributions.*
(ii) *if $q\geq 3$, σ_r and $\sigma_r^\perp$ define umbilical foliations on M_r.*

(II) *If $1<q<\frac{n}{2}$ $(n\geq 5)$ and σ_r is an umbilical distribution (resp., $\frac{n}{2}<q<n-1$ and $\sigma_r^\perp$ umbilical), then*

$$B(\sigma)\geq\frac{q(n-q)}{2(n-q-1)}\lambda\mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{K}P^m(\lambda))\quad(\textit{resp., } B(\sigma)\geq\frac{q(n-q)}{2(q-1)}\lambda\mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{K}P^m(\lambda))).$$

The equality holds if and only if $\sigma_r^\perp$ (resp., σ_r) defines an umbilical polar foliation on M_r.

Proof. The Jacobi operator R_u on $\mathbb{K}P^m(\lambda)$, $\mathbb{K}\neq\mathbb{R}$, defined by $R_u=R_{u.}u$ for a unit vector u, satisfies [15]

$$R_uJ_su=4\lambda J_su,\quad R_uX=\lambda X,\ \ X\in\{u,J_su\}^\perp,$$

for $s=1,\dots,\nu$. Then, taking an adapted local orthonormal frame of the pair $(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{H})$ associated to σ on $\mathbb{K}P^m(\lambda)$ as

$$\{V_1,\dots,V_\kappa,J_1V_1,\dots,J_1V_k,\dots,J_\nu V_1,\dots,J_\nu V_\kappa;\ E_{q+j},\ j=1,\dots,n-q\},$$

where $q=(\nu+1)\kappa$, the mixed scalar curvature $s_{\rm mix}(\sigma)$ of σ is given by

$$s_{\rm mix}(\sigma)=\sum_{j=1}^{n-q}\sum_{a=1}^{\kappa}\Big(g(R_{V_a}E_{q+j},E_{q+j})+\sum_{s=1}^{\nu}g(R_{J_sV_a}E_{q+j},E_{q+j})\Big)=q(n-q)\lambda.$$

Now, the result follows from Theorem 5.2. □

6. Energy of some special classes of foliations

A foliation $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ on a Riemannian manifold (M,g), determined by a completely integrable smooth distribution σ, is said to be *Riemannian* if it is a *transnormal system*, that is, every geodesic that is orthogonal to one leaf remains orthogonal to all the leaves that it intersects [11]. Then, the vertical distribution $\mathcal{V}$ is Riemannian. In the subsection 6.3, we shall give a family of examples of non Riemannian foliations whose tangent distributions over their regular points are Riemannian. If (M,g) is complete, the transnormal condition implies that the leaves are equidistant to each other.

6.1. Tubular and radial foliations. We focus on compact (connected) Riemannian manifolds (M,g) equipped with a codimension one Riemannian foliation $\mathcal{F}$ which contains at least one singular leaf P embedded in M (P may be a point). In terms of the first conjugate locus $\mathrm{Conj}(P)$ along geodesics orthogonal to P, Bolton [2] shows that there are two possibilities for $\mathcal{F}$:

Case I: $\mathrm{Conj}(P)=P$ holds, then P is the unique singular leaf, every orthogonal geodesic to P return to (possibly a different point of) P in a constant distance 2μ, and M is diffeomorphic to the closed tube $\bar{T}(P,\mu):=T(P,\mu)\cup P(\mu)$. The regular leaves are tubes around P, or geodesic spheres if P is a point.

Case II: If $\mathrm{Conj}(P)\neq P$ and $d(P,\mathrm{Conj}(P))=\mu$ then P and $\mathrm{Conj}(P)=P(\mu)$ are the singular leaves and M is diffeomorphic to $\bar{T}(P,\frac{\mu}{2})\cup\bar{T}(\mathrm{Conj}(P),\frac{\mu}{2})$, or more generally, to $\bar{T}(P,\frac{\mu}{2}+\nu)\cup\bar{T}(\mathrm{Conj}(P),\frac{\mu}{2}-\nu)$, for each $\nu\in]-\frac{\mu}{2},\frac{\mu}{2}[$. Each regular leaf is a tube, or a geodesic sphere, around P or around $\mathrm{Conj}(P)$.

We say that $\mathcal{F}$ is a *tubular foliation around* P (or a *spherical foliation around* a point x, if $P=\{x\}$) and it will be denoted by $\mathcal{T}_P$ (or by $\mathcal{E}_x$.) Note that for Case II, one gets $\mathcal{T}_P=\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{Conj}(P)}$. Miyaoka [10] proves that there exists a *transnormal* function $f:M\to\mathbb{R}$ such that the connected components of the level sets $f^{-1}(t)$ are precisely the leaves of $\mathcal{T}_P$. Concretely, on $T(P,\mu)$, f is given by

$$f(x)=\cos\frac{\pi}{\mu}r(x), \tag{6.18}$$

where r is the distance function $r=d(P,\cdot)$. In both cases, the tube $T(P,\mu)$ covers M except for the second *focal variety* corresponding to the minimum value -1 of f and the tubes $P(r)$, $0<r<\mu$, are all the regular leaves of $\mathcal{T}_P$.

From [17, Lemma 1], the gradient ∇f of f determines a one-dimensional and totally geodesic almost regular foliation $\mathcal{R}_P$ orthogonal to $\mathcal{T}_P$, called the *radial foliation* around P. Its singular leaves are then the points of P and of $\mathrm{Conj}(P)$. From (6.18), one gets

$$\nabla f=-\frac{\pi}{\mu}(\sin\frac{\pi}{\mu}r)\nabla r \tag{6.19}$$

on $T(P,\mu))\setminus P$. As a direct consequence of Gauss lemma, ∇r is the outward radial unit vector field orthogonal to regular leaves of $\mathcal{T}_P$. Denote by S the shape operator (with respect to ∇r) of the regular leaves $\{P(r)\}_{0<r<\mu}$ of $\mathcal{T}_P$ and by α_a, $a=1,\dots,n-1$, their eigenvalue functions.

Proposition 6.1. *The tubular and radial foliations $\mathcal{T}_P$ and $\mathcal{R}_P$ around an embedded submanifold P and the radial vector field ∇r on $T(P,\mu)\setminus P$ have the same total bending and it is given by*

$$B(\mathcal{T}_P)=B(\mathcal{R}_P)=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{a=1}^{n-1}\int_0^{\mu}\Big(\int_{P(r)}\alpha_a^2\,dv_{P(r)}\Big)dr. \tag{6.20}$$

Proof. Taking local orthonormal frames $\{E_1,\dots,E_{n-1};E_n=\nabla r\}$, where $E_1,\dots,E_{n-1}$ are eigenvectors of S, one directly obtains that $\|\xi\|^2=2\sum_{a=1}^{n-1}\alpha_a^2$ on $T(P,\mu))\setminus P$. Hence, using the Fubini's theorem, we obtain (6.20). □

From Proposition 6.1 and in accordance with [1], the list of all *isoparametric* radial foliations on compact rank one symmetric spaces around points or around totally geodesic submanifolds are given in Table 1, where their singular leaves and focal varieties, together with the explicit expressions for their total bendings, are determined. For these Riemannian foliations, the functions α_a on each tube $P(r)$ are constant, so they only depend on r, and formula (6.20) reduces to

$$B(\mathcal{R}_P)=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{a=1}^{n-1}\int_0^{\mu}A_P^M(r)\alpha_a^2(r)dr,$$

where $A_P^M(r)$ denotes the $(n-1)$-dimensional volume of the tubular hypersurface $P(r)$. All geodesics in $\mathbb{K}P^m(\lambda)$ are periodic with the same length $l=\pi/\sqrt{\lambda}$. Because radial foliations in Table 1 are all Case II, we have that $\mu=\pi/2\sqrt{\lambda}$, except for:

(i) radial foliations around a point x in $\mathbb{R}P^m(\lambda)$. Its focal variety is the regular leaf, known as *exceptional*, isometric to $\mathbb{R}P^{m-1}(\lambda)$. Then, μ also takes the values $\pi/2\sqrt{\lambda}$.

(ii) radial foliations around $\mathbb{R}P^m(\lambda)$ (resp., $\mathbb{C}P^m(\lambda)$) embedded as totally geodesic submanifold of $\mathbb{C}P^m(\lambda)$ (resp., $\mathbb{H}P^m(\lambda)$). The geodesics orthogonal to these submanifolds cut them in two points at a distance $\pi/2\sqrt{\lambda}$ and so $\mu=\pi/4\sqrt{\lambda}$.

Theorem 6.2. *The radial foliation $\mathcal{R}_x$ (resp., the spherical foliation $\mathcal{E}_x$) around a point x on $(M,g)=S^n(\lambda)$ or $(M,g)=\mathbb{R}P^n(\lambda)$, for $n\geq 3$, is an absolute minimum for the energy functional on the set of all one-dimensional (resp., codimension one) almost regular distributions and its total bending is given by*

$$B(\mathcal{R}_x)=B(\mathcal{E}_x)=\frac{(n-1)}{2(n-2)}\lambda\mathrm{Vol}(M,g).$$

Moreover, for $n\geq 4$, tangent distributions to radial (resp., spherical) foliations around points are the only one-dimensional (resp., codimension one) almost regular distributions to minimize the energy.

TABLE 1. Total bending of isoparametric radial foliations on compact rank one symmetric spaces around points or totally geodesic submanifolds

(M,g)	Focal varieties	Singular leaves	$B(\mathcal{R}_P)/\mathrm{Vol}(M,g)$
$S^m(\lambda)$	$\{x\},\ \{-x\}$	$\{x\},\ \{-x\}$	$\frac{m-1}{2(m-2)}\lambda$
$(m \geqslant 3)$	$S^{m-1}(\lambda),\ \{x,-x\}$	$\{x\},\ \{-x\}$	$\frac{m-1}{2(m-2)}\lambda$
	$S^{m-p-1}(\lambda),\ S^q(\lambda)$	$S^{m-p-1}(\lambda),\ S^q(\lambda)$	$\frac{(m-1)[4\delta^2-(m-1)^2+4]}{2[4\delta^2-(m-3)^2]}\lambda$
	$(1<p<m-2)$		
	$S^{m-2}(\lambda),\ S^1(\lambda)$	$S^{m-2}(\lambda),\ S^1(\lambda)$	∞
$\mathbb{R}P^m(\lambda)$	$\mathbb{R}P^{m-1}(\lambda),\ \{x\}$	$\{x\}$	$\frac{m-1}{2(m-2)}\lambda$
$(m \geqslant 3)$	$\mathbb{R}P^{m-p-1}(\lambda),\ \mathbb{R}P^p(\lambda)$	$\mathbb{R}P^{m-q-1}(\lambda),\ \mathbb{R}P^q(\lambda)$	$\frac{(m-1)[4\delta^2-(m-1)^2+4]}{2[4\delta^2-(m-3)^2]}\lambda$
	$(1<p<m-2)$		
	$\mathbb{R}P^{m-2}(\lambda),\ \mathbb{R}P^1(\lambda)$	$\mathbb{R}P^{m-2}(\lambda),\ \mathbb{R}P^1(\lambda)$	∞
$\mathbb{C}P^m(\lambda)$	$\mathbb{C}P^{m-1}(\lambda),\ \{x\}$	$\mathbb{C}P^{m-1}(\lambda),\ \{x\}$	∞
$(m \geqslant 2)$			
	$\mathbb{C}P^{m-p-1}(\lambda),\ \mathbb{C}P^p(\lambda)$	$\mathbb{C}P^{m-p-1}(\lambda),\ \mathbb{C}P^p(\lambda)$	$\frac{(m-1)[4\delta^2-(m^2+1)]}{4\delta^2-(m-1)^2}\lambda$
	$(1\leq p\leq m-2)$		
	$\mathbb{R}P^m(\lambda),\ Q$	$\mathbb{R}P^m(\lambda)$	∞
$\mathbb{H}P^m(\lambda)$	$\mathbb{H}P^{m-1}(\lambda),\ \{x\}$	$\mathbb{H}P^{m-1}(\lambda),\ \{x\}$	$\frac{6m^2-5m+2}{2m-1}\lambda$
	$\mathbb{H}P^{m-p-1}(\lambda),\ \mathbb{H}P^p(\lambda)$	$\mathbb{H}P^{m-p-1}(\lambda),\ \mathbb{H}P^p(\lambda)$	$\frac{8(m-1)\delta^2-m(2m^2+1)}{4\delta^2-m^2}\lambda$
	$(1\leq p\leq m-2)$		
	$\mathbb{C}P^m(\lambda),\ \tilde{Q}$	$\mathbb{C}P^m(\lambda)$	$\frac{10m^2-6m-1}{m-1}\lambda$
	$(m\geqslant 2)$		
$\mathbb{C}a\,P^2(\lambda)$	$\{x\},\ L$	$\{x\},\ L$	$\frac{139}{21}\lambda$

$\delta=((m-1)/2)-p$. Q (resp., $\tilde{Q}$) is the tube around $\mathbb{R}P^m(\lambda)$ (resp., $\mathbb{C}P^m(\lambda)$) in $\mathbb{C}P^m(\lambda)$ (resp., $\mathbb{H}P^m(\lambda)$) of radius $\pi/4\sqrt{\lambda}$.

Proof. On Riemannian manifolds of constant curvature λ, the mixed scalar curvature $s_{\mathrm{mix}}(\sigma)$ of any q-dimensional almost regular distribution σ is given by $s_{\mathrm{mix}}(\sigma)=q(n-q)\lambda$. Because the geodesic spheres around points on $S^n(\lambda)$ or on $\mathbb{R}P^n(\lambda)$ are totally umbilical hypersurfaces, the first part of the theorem follows directly from Theorem 5.2 (I). For the last part, we use that the foliation whose leaves are round spheres at a constant geodesic distance is the unique codimension one foliation in $S^n(\lambda)$ which is Riemannian and totally umbilical. Since $\mathbb{R}P^n(\lambda)$ is obtained from $S^n(\lambda)$ by identifying antipodal points, with Riemannian metric such that the two-fold covering map $\tilde{\pi}: S^n(\lambda)\to\mathbb{R}P^n(\lambda)$ is a Riemannian submersion, the same result holds for $\mathbb{R}P^m(\lambda)$. □

6.2. COMPLEX RADIAL FOLIATIONS. Let $\mathcal{T}_P$ be a tubular foliation around an embedded submanifold P (P may be a point) in an almost Hermitian manifold (M,g,J). The subset of vector fields $\{\nabla f, J\nabla f\}$, where f is defined as in (6.18), spans a two-dimensional almost regular invariant distribution called the *complex radial* distribution around P. The *Hopf vector field* $V=-J\nabla r$ of each tube $P(r)$, $0<r<\mu$, around P defines a smooth vector field on $T(P,\mu)\setminus P$ belonging to the complex radial distribution. On complex space forms, V is a principal curvature vector field on each $P(r)$ (see [15]) and so, $P(r)$ is a nice example of *Hopf hypersurface*.

Proposition 6.3. *If (M, g, J) is nearly Kähler and the regular leaves $P(r)$, $0 < r < \mu$, of $\mathcal{T}_P$ are Hopf hypersurfaces, then the complex radial distribution around P is completely integrable and it determines a totally geodesic two-dimensional almost regular invariant foliation with the same singular leaves than those of the radial foliation $\mathcal{R}_P$.*

Proof. Because $\nabla_{\nabla r}\nabla r = 0$, it follows from (6.18) and (6.19) that

$$\nabla_{\nabla f}\nabla f = -\frac{\pi}{\mu}\nabla r(\sin\frac{\pi}{\mu}r)\nabla f = -\left(\frac{\pi}{\mu}\right)^2 f\nabla f.$$

Hence, using that (M, g, J) is nearly Kähler, we have $\nabla_{\nabla f}J\nabla f = -\left(\frac{\pi}{\mu}\right)^2 fJ\nabla f$ and since $(J\nabla f)(r) = 0$ and $SJV = \alpha JV$, for some smooth function α on M, one gets

$$\nabla_{J\nabla f}\nabla f = -\frac{\pi}{\mu}\sin\frac{\pi}{\mu}r\nabla_{J\nabla f}\nabla r = \frac{\pi}{\mu}\sin\frac{\pi}{\mu}rSJ\nabla f = (\frac{\pi}{\mu}\alpha\sin\frac{\pi}{\mu}r)J\nabla f.$$

So, the complex radial distribution is geodesic. Moreover, we have

$$[\nabla f, J\nabla f] = -\frac{\pi}{\mu}(\frac{\pi}{\mu}f + \alpha\sin\frac{\pi}{\mu}r)J\nabla f.$$

Then $\{\nabla f, J\nabla f\}$ is a *locally of finite type* subset of vector fields and, from [12, Theorem 8.1], the complex radial distribution is completely integrable. Clearly, the points $P \cup \mathrm{Conj}(P)$ are all the singular leaves. □

Denote by $\sigma_P^{\mathbb{C}}$ the complex radial distribution and by $\mathcal{R}_P^{\mathbb{C}}$ the corresponding *complex radial foliation.*

Lemma 6.4. *On $\mathbb{C}P^m(\lambda)$, and for each $x \in \mathbb{C}P^m(\lambda)$, the orthogonal distribution $(\sigma_P^{\mathbb{C}})_r^{\perp}$ of $(\sigma_P^{\mathbb{C}})_r$ is umbilical.*

Proof. Given a unit-speed geodesic γ starting at $x = \gamma(0)$, there exists a parallel frame field $\{E_1, \ldots, E_n\}$ along γ such that $E_{n-1} = J\gamma'$ and $E_n = \gamma'$, and where the vectors $E_i(r)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, are eigenvectors of the shape operator of the small geodesic $S(x, r)$ in $\mathbb{C}P^m(\lambda)$ at $\gamma(r)$. The corresponding eigenvalues $\alpha_i(r)$ are given by $\alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_{n-2} = \alpha$, where $\alpha = -\sqrt{\lambda}\cot(\sqrt{\lambda}r)$, and $\alpha_{n-1} = -2\sqrt{\lambda}\cot(2\sqrt{\lambda}r)$ (see [15]). Then the non-vanishing components of the intrinsic torsion ξ of $\sigma_x^{\mathbb{C}}$ are

$$g(\xi_{E_j}E_j, \gamma'(r)) = g(\xi_{E_j}JE_j, J\gamma'(r)) = -g(\xi_{JE_j}E_j, J\gamma'(r)) = \alpha,$$

for $j = 1, \ldots, n-2$. It proves that $(\sigma_P^{\mathbb{C}})_r^{\perp}$ is umbilical. □

From Corollary 5.4 (I)(i), we have the following result.

Theorem 6.5. *The complex radial foliation $\mathcal{R}_x^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{R}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{C}P^1(\lambda)}$ in the complex projective plane $\mathbb{C}P^2(\lambda)$ is an absolute minimum for the energy functional on the set of all two-dimensional invariant almost regular distributions and*

$$B(\mathcal{R}_x^{\mathbb{C}}) = 2\lambda\mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{C}P^2(\lambda)).$$

6.3. Deformations of a tubular foliation. Let $\mathcal{T}_P$ be the tubular foliation around an embedded submanifold P of a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) and denote by σ its tangent distribution. Let $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be the associated transnormal function given in (6.18). For each $\varepsilon \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, we construct a new distribution σ_ε given by

$$\sigma_\varepsilon(x) = \begin{cases} \sigma(x), & \text{if } |f(x)| \leq \sin\varepsilon \text{ or } f(x) = \pm 1; \\ T_xM, & \text{if } \sin\varepsilon < |f(x)| < 1. \end{cases}$$

Note that σ_0 is a trivial distribution and $\sigma_{\pi/2}$ coincides with σ.

Lemma 6.6. *The distribution σ_ε, for each $\varepsilon \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, is smooth and completely integrable.*

Proof. Let D be any subset of $\mathfrak{X}_{loc}(M)$ spanning σ. Then $D \cup \{(\alpha \circ f)\nabla f\}$ determines σ_ε, where α is a smooth real function which is 0 on $[-\sin\varepsilon, \sin\varepsilon]$ and positive out this interval, e.g.

$$\alpha(t) = \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{1}{(t+\sin\varepsilon)^2}}, & \text{if } t < -\sin\varepsilon; \\ 0, & \text{if } -\sin\varepsilon \leq t \leq \sin\varepsilon; \\ e^{-\frac{1}{(t-\sin\varepsilon)^2}}, & \text{if } t > \sin\varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

Then, σ_ε is smooth and, because $D \cup \{(\alpha \circ f)\nabla f\}$ can be taken *locally of finite type*, it follows from [12, Theorem 8.1] that σ_ε is completely integrable. □

Then σ_ε determines a foliation $(\mathcal{T}_P)_\varepsilon$, called the *$\varepsilon$-deformation* of $\mathcal{T}_P$, whose singular leaves are the singular leaves of $\mathcal{T}_P$, that is, the submanifold P in Case I and P and $\mathrm{Conj}(P)$ in Case II, together with the level sets $f^{-1}(\sin\varepsilon)$ and $f^{-1}(-\sin\varepsilon)$.

Remark 6.7. Clearly $(\mathcal{T}_P)_\varepsilon$, for all $\varepsilon \in]0, \pi/2[$, is not almost regular and it is not a Riemannian foliation. Nevertheless, its tangent distribution σ_ε is Riemannian.

Proposition 6.8. *We have:*

$$B((\mathcal{T}_P)_\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \int_{\frac{\mu}{2\pi}(\pi-2\varepsilon)}^{\frac{\mu}{2\pi}(\pi+2\varepsilon)} \Big(\int_{P(r)} \alpha_a^2 \, dv_{P(r)} \Big) dr, \tag{6.21}$$

where α_a, $a = 1, \ldots n-1$, are the eigevalues functions for the shape operator of the level sets of $\mathcal{T}_P$.

Proof. The set of the regular points $M_r(\varepsilon)$ of σ_ε is the union of the open subset

$$\begin{aligned} M_r(\varepsilon) &= M_r^1(\varepsilon) \cup M_r^2(\varepsilon) = \{x \in M_r(\varepsilon) \mid d(x) = n-1\} \cup \{x \in M_r(\varepsilon) \mid d(x) = n\} \\ &= f^{-1}(]-\sin\varepsilon, \sin\varepsilon[) \cup f^{-1}(]-1, -\sin\varepsilon[\cup]\sin\varepsilon, 1[). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $M_r^1(\varepsilon)$ can be expressed as

$$M_r^1(\varepsilon) = \{\exp_P ru \;\mid\; u \in T^\perp P,\ \|u\| = 1,\ \ |r - \frac{\mu}{2}| < \frac{\mu\varepsilon}{\pi}\}.$$

Since the intrinsic torsion of σ_ε vanishes on $M_r^2(\varepsilon)$ and it coincides with the intrinsic torsion ξ^1 of σ on $M_r^1(\varepsilon)$, the result follows directly using (6.20). □

Example 6.9. Let $\mathcal{E}_x$ be the spherical foliation around a point x in the 2-sphere $S^2(\lambda)$. Then a transnormal function f, such that $\mathcal{E}_x = \mathcal{F}_f$, is defined as $f(\exp_x rv) = \cos t\sqrt{\lambda}r$, where $v \in T_xS^n(\lambda)$ and $\|v\| = 1$. Its level sets are round circles centered at x with $\alpha(r) = -\sqrt{\lambda}\cot t\sqrt{\lambda}r$ and $A_x^{S^2(\lambda)}(r) = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\sin t\sqrt{\lambda}r$. From Theorem 5.1, we know that $B(\mathcal{E}_x) = \infty$. Nevertheless, the ε-deformation $(\mathcal{E}_x)_\varepsilon$ of $\mathcal{E}_x$, for all $\varepsilon \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}[$, has finite total bending. In fact, from (6.21), we get

$$B(\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon) = \pi \int_{\pi/2-\varepsilon}^{\pi/2+\varepsilon} \cos^2 t \sin^{-1} t \; dt = \pi\Big(\ln\left(\frac{1+\sin\varepsilon}{1-\sin\varepsilon}\right) - 2\sin\varepsilon\Big).$$

References

[1] E. Boeckx, J. C. González-Dávila and L. Vanhecke, Energy of radial vector fields on compact rank one symmetric spaces, *Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.* **23** (2003), 29-52.

[2] J. Bolton, Transnormal systems, *Q. J. Math. Oxford II* Ser. **24** (1973), 385-395.

[3] F. Brito and P. G. Walczak, On the energy of unit vector fields with isolated singularities, *Ann. Math. Polon.* **73** (2000), 269-274.

[4] P. M. Chacón, A. M. Naveira and J. M. Weston, On the energy of distributions, with application to the quaternionic Hopf fibrations, *Monatsh. Math.* **133** (2001), 281-294.

[5] B. Y. Chen, Classification of totally umbilical submanifolds in symmetric spaces, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* (*Series A*) **30** (1980), 129-136.

[6] B. Y. Choi and J. W. Yim, Distributions on Riemannian manifolds, which are harmonic maps, *Tohoku Math. J.* **55** (2003), 175-188.

[7] O. Gil-Medrano, J. C. González-Dávila and L. Vanhecke, Harmonicity and minimality of oriented distributions, *Israel J. Math.* **143** (2004), 253-279.

[8] J. C. González-Dávila, Harmonicity and minimality of distributions on Riemannian manifolds via the intrinsic torsion, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **30** (2014), 247-275.

[9] J. C. González-Dávila and F. Martín Cabrera, Harmonic G-structures, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **146** (2009), 435-459.

[10] R. Miyaoka, Transnormal functions on a Riemannian manifold, *Diff. Geom. and Appl.* **31** (2013), 130-139.

[11] P. Molino, *Riemannian foliations*, Vol. **73**, Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1988.

[12] H. J. Sussmann, Orbits of families of vector fields and integrability of distributions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **180** (1973), 171-188.

[13] P. Stefan, Accessible sets, orbits and foliations with singularities, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **29** (1974), 699-713.

[14] H. Urakawa, *Calculus of variations and harmonic maps*, Transl. of Math. Monographs 132, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1993.

[15] L. Vanhecke, Geometry in normal and tubular neighborhoods, *Rend. Sem. Fac. Sci. Univ. Cagliari*, Suppl. **58** (1988), 73-176.

[16] P. G. Walczak, An integral formula for a Riemannian manifold with two orthogonal complementary distributions, *Colloq. Math.* **58** (1990), 243-252.

[17] Q. M. Wang, Isoparametric functions on Riemannian manifolds, I, *Math. Ann.* **277** (1987), 639-646.

[18] C. M. Wood, A class of harmonic almost-product structures, *J. Geom. Physics* **14** (1994), 25-42.

[19] C. M. Wood, Harmonic sections of homogeneous fibre bundles, *Diff. Geom. and Appl.* **19** (2003), 193-210.

(J. C. González-Dávila) Departamento de Matemáticas, Estadística e Investigación Operativa, University of La Laguna, 38200 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

E-mail address: jcgonza@ull.es